

I don't understand why the FCC allows companies like Sinclair and Clear Channel to monopolize the free airwaves they receive as media when they are clearly and blatantly not in compliance with their agreement to present the news objectively, fairly, equitably, or objectively. There is one person with a political agenda behind the two corporations I mention here, and they are using their positions to influence public opinion; and now for the purpose of swaying the upcoming presidential election. This is unconstitutional and it is going against America's most basic values. They have brought about legislation through their political connections and monetary bribes to increase the percentage of ownership legally allowable to any one entity or person in this country; they are already in control of far too high a percentage, and if the law is altered in any way it should be to further limit the percentage of ownership allowed, not to increase it. The negative impact their ownership has already had on the nation is quite evident and inarguable; that Sinclair is airing a film for the purpose of politically damaging John Kerry in these last days before the election and calling it "news" is what I would call an obscenity that trumps all of the pornography that has ever been produced, and Sinclair should be held accountable in the most meaningful manner possible: their license to use public air to present news objectively, fairly, and in balance must be revoked and the FCC should move toward generating new laws restricting the percentage of private or corporate ownership media outlets to a level lowered sufficiently to ensure a level political playing field.